©
Government of Kerala
1981

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/[illegible]

KERALA GAZETTE

SUPPLEMENTS

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXVI] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 18th August 1981 / 27th Sravana 1903 (Saka) [No. 33

PART I

CONTENTS

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. Rt. No. 504/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 3rd April 1981.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Partner, Super Medicals, Chemists and Druggists, K.K. Road, Kottayam and their workman viz Shri V. Sasidharan Pillai, C/o. V.S.Pillai, Ozhathil, Munalinivas, Kumaranalloor, Kottayam-16 received by Government on 26-3-1981 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
P. Gomathy Amma,
Deputy Secretary.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

(Dated this the 21st day of March, 1981)

Present:

Shri N. SUKUMARAN, B.SC., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 235 of 1979
(Old No. I. D. 53 of 1978)

Between

The Partner, Super Medicals, Chemists & Druggists, K. K. Road, Kottayam

And

The workman of the above establishment Shri V. Sasidharan Pillai, C/o. V. S. Pillai, Ozhathil, Munalinivas, Kumaranalloor, Kottayam-16.

Representations:

Shri Thomas Rajan,
Advocate, Kottayam .. For *Management*.

Shri C.S. Padmanabha Panicker,
Advocate, Kottayam .. For *Workman*

AWARD

The two issues referred for adjudication by Government as per G. O. (Rt.) No. 758/78/L&H dated 2-5-1978 are the following:—

"I. Denial of employment to Shri V. Sasidharan Pillai.

II. Payment of wages for the period till reinstatement."

2. There is no Union espousing the cause of the workman. The workman had filed his claim statement alleging that he was denied work for no fault of him when he reported for duty on 5-3-1976. He claims reinstatement with all benifits.

3. The Management in its written statement denies the allegation that Shri Sasidharan Pillai was employed by it. It is further contended that there was no necessity or occasion to deny employment as Shri Sasidharan Pillai was never employed.

4. The case came up for evidence on the above contentions. Then Shri Sasidharan Pillai remained absent. Therefore he was set ex-parte and the case proceeded in his absence.

5. The Management asked to prove its case filed an affidavit sworn to by one of the Partners. The contentions stand proved by that affidavit. Therefore I hold that Shri V. Sasidharan Pillai was not an employee of the Management involved. It is further found that the allegation of denial of employment is not proved. Consequently an award is passed holding that Shri Sasidharan Pillai is not entitled to any reliefs.

Ernakulam,
21-3-1981.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
Labour (A) Department
NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 921/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd July* 1981.

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Managing Partner, M/s Mampilly Agencies, P. O. Narakkal and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Vypeen Fisheries Workers Union, Tharamel House, P. O Puthuvypu, Cochin-682508 received by Government on 15-7-1981 is hereby published under section17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
P. GOMATHY AMMA,
Deputy Secretary.

In the Labour Gourt Ernakulam
Dated this the 6th day of July, 1981

Present:
SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B.L.
Presiding Officer
In
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 332 of 1979
Between
THE MANAGING PARTNER,
M/s Mampally Agencies, P.O. Narakkal.
And
The Workmen of the above concern represented by the] Secretary, Vypeen Fisheries Workers Union, Tharamel House, P. O. Puthuvypu, Cochin-682508

Representations :—

Shri Joseph Franklin, Advocate, Cochin-18 — For *Management*

Shri P. F. Francis, Advocate, Cochin-19 — For *Union*

G. A. 174/V.

AWARD

The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G. O. (Rt) No. 1005/79/L & H dated 18-7-1979 is "Denial of employment to Shri. M.O. Joseph".

2. The Secretary of the Union espousing the cause of the workman has filed a claim statement stating that Shri Joseph was working on a daily wages basis at the rate of Rs. 10.50 per day as an employee under the management involved with effect from 4-2-1971 and that he was denied employment when he reported for duty on 1-8-1977. The Union's case is that the employment was on a permanent basis and without interruption till the denial. Reinstatement with all benefits is the relief sought for.

3. The management in its written statement denies the allegation that Shri Joseph was employed on a permanent basis during the interval 1971 to 1977. The complaint that he was denied employement is also denied. But it is admitted that Shri Joseph was employed on a temporary basis for a few days for prawn fishing in the season January to April 1977. All his wages during that period for such work were paid and he has really no claim for continued employment.

4. There is a rejoinder in which the claims are re-affirmed while refuting the contentions raised.

5. There is only oral evidence and the witnesses are WW1 to WW3 and MW1. The points arising for consideration are: (1) Whether Shri Joseph was a permanent employee of the Management, (2) If so was he denied employment as contended and (3) Reliefs' if any, to which Shri Joseph is entitled.

6. *Point No. 1.*—Shri Joseph has given evidence as WW1. His versions support his case. He has no document in support of his claim. WW2, another witness, corroborates his evidence. WW3 is the Union Secretary. MW1 is the Manager of the Management which is a partnership concern

7. What is stated by WW1 is that he was employed by the Management for weighing and peeling prawns. The Management admittedly is engaged in buying, processing and selling prawns. What is spoken to by MW1 is that the Management is not having any permanent workmen and that whatever employment provided is on temporary basis. He even denies the admitted case in the written statement that WW1 was employed on a temporary basis at least for a short while. So the evidence of MW1 cannot be relied on. In the circumstances of this case the evidence of WW1 corroborated by WW2 can safely be accepted to hold that WW1 was an employee of the Management concern. WW3 The Union Secretary, also speaks to that aspect. So I have no hesitation to find this point in favour of the Union. I do so.

8. *Point No. 2*—From my finding on Point No.1 it follows that WW1 was working under the Management. Evidently he is not provided with work from the date on which denial is alleged. The date alleged in the claim statement is 31-7-1977. It is true that WW1 has given the crucial date in his evidence as differently in different contexts. Those dates are 31-4-1977 and 30-7-1977. But we have to remember that WW1 is an illiterate worker. The fact that he made some mistakes in regard to the date of denial of employment is not a sufficient circumstance to say that his case is not genuine. I find that the workman was denied employment by the Management from 1-8-1977.

9. *Point No.3*— The workman who had continuous service was denied employment without any reasonable basis. So he is entitled to reinstatement. He is also entitled to the benefit of arrears in backwages. The arrears can be calculated at the rate of Rs.10.50 per day as MW1 also had admitted that the wages exceed that rate. What is stated by MW1 is that the workers were being paid Rs.10 to 20. For the purpose of arrears 26 days in a month from 1-8-1977 will be taken into account.

10. In the result an award is passed directing the Management to reinstate the workman with continuity of service and benefits in arrears of wages.

11. This award shall come into force on the expiry of thirty days from the date of its publication in the Government Gazette.

Ernakulam,
6-7-1981.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Appendix

Witnesses examined on the Union's Side:

WW1 Shri M.O. Joseph.
WW2 ,, N.K. Chathan
WW3 ,, T.K.Bhasi

Witness examined on the Management's Side:

MW1. Shri Xavier, P.A.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 870/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th July 1981.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between Dr. A.K. Sabhapathy, Employer and Cheif Medical Officer, Krishna Nursing Home, M.G.Road, Ernakulam and their workmen represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Private Nursing Home and Medical Shop Employees Union, New Santha Bhavan, M.P. Road, Calicut received by Government on 1-7-1981 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
P. GOMATHY AMMA,
Deputy Secretary.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Dated this the 23rd day of June, 1981

Present :

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B.SC., B. L.,
Presiding Officer

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 53 OF 1980

Between

Dr. A.K. Sabhapathy, Employer and Cheif Medical Officer, Krishna Nursing Home, M.G. Road, Ernakulam

And

The Workmen of the above concern respresented by the General Secretary, Kerala Private Nursing Home and Medical Shop Employees Union, New Santha Bhavan, M.P. Road, Calicut-673001.

Representations:

M/s M.V. Joseph & A.V. Xavier,
Advocates, Ernakulam. For *Management*.

Shri R. Lekshmana Ayyar,
Advocate, Trivandrum For *Union*.

AWARD

Dismissal of a workman from a Nursing Home has given room for this reference made by Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No.692/80/LBR dated 20-5-1980.

2. Pleadings have been advanced The Management in its written statement in answer to the charter of demands wherein reinstatement is claimed, contends that the dismissal was on proper grounds after a duly conducted domestic enquiry. The Union in its statement characterises the dismissal as one intended to victimise the workman. The allegation that there was a proper domestic enquiry is also denied. The claim for reinstatement is reiterated.

3. When the case came up for evidence on the above pleadings it was reported that the matter is settled. The original settlement containing tbe following terms was also filed:-

(i) Mr. K. R. Mohanan drawing Rs.288 per month will be paid one month's salary for each year of his service. He has got 3 years service and the total amount is Rs.864. This amount will be paid to Mr. Mohanan on his passing receipt.

(ii) The dismissal of Mr. Mohanan is converted into discharge.

(iii) The above amount is in full and final settlement of all his claim against the employer and there is no other amount due to him other than the amount shown in para 1.

(iv) The Union agrees not to press the dispute in terms of this settlement. A petition is filed before the Court in this regard.

4. The dispute stands settled between the parties as indicated above. Needless to say that there is no subsisting industrial dispute for adjudication. An award is passed holding that no dispute is available in view of the settlement.

Ernakulam,
23-6-1981.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 31th August 1981.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 840/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 7th July 1981.*

The award of the Labour Court, Kozhikode in respect of the dispute between the Manager, Thirupathy Mills, Private Limited, Cannanore and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Thirupathy Mills, Labour Union (INTUC) Fort Road, Cannanore received by Government on 4-7-1981 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
P. GOMATHY AMMA,
Deputy Secretary.

In the Labour Court, Kozhikode, Kerala State
Dated this the 1st day of June, 1981.

Present:

SHRI T. M. VISHNU NAMBISSAN, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 10/1978

Between

The Manager, Thirupathy Mills Private Limited, Cannanore (Employer)

And

The Secretary, Thirupathy Mills, Labour Union (INTUC) Fort Road, Cannanore (Employees Union).

Represented by:—

M. P. Govindan Nambiar, Advocate, — For *the Employer.*

V. V. Vijayan, Advocate. — For *the Employees Union*

G.A. 160/V.

AWARD

1. This industrial dispute between the above parties regarding the termination of service of Shri K. Gopalan, Sider with effect from 11-2-1976, was referred for adjudication to this court as per G.O. (Rt) No. 201/78/L&H, dated 4-2-1978. The case was taken on bill and notices issued to the parties. They entered appearance and filed statements.

2. In its statement, the union contents that Sri K. Gopalan an employee in the Spinning Department of the management and a member of the union has been denied employment from 11-2-1976, for unauthorised absents from duty. Even though the workman had given an explanation, the management without conducting an enquiry removed him from service. The workman was bound to leave for his native place at Badagara on 22-9-1975 as he got information of the serious illness of his father while he was on duty. He applied for leave and immediately left to Badagara. The workman also was laid up due to serious rheumatic and was unable to send a leave letter to the management. There was nobody else to help him, he was treated by an Ayurvedic Physician. He was also laid up with Tiphoid and was bedridden upto 20-3-1976. He could send the medical certificates of both the Doctors and the leave letter to the management through the Union representative who had visited him after seeing the publication of the notice on the notice board. As the workman was the only male member of his family, and there was nobody else to help him, he could not reply to the notice. Even though a valid and true explanation supported by medical certificates were submitted to the management. The management arbitrarily took an unprecedented and haste action to remove him from the rolls. The management wanted to get rid of the workman as he is one of the strong pillars of the union and popular among the workers. This is a clear case of victmisation and unfair labour practice. The managing Director and other senior officers had orally promised the union leaders to reinstate the workman but that premise was not kept up. Hence the union prays for reinstatement of the workman with backwages and other benefits.

3. The management in its written statement contents that it is incorrect, that it denied employment to K. Gopalan, that K. Gopalan is an employee of the management is admitted. He absented himself from work from 23-12-1975 without obtaining any leave. On 2-1-1976 a notice was displayed on the notice board that Gopalan absented himself from duty without obtaining leave and that under order 10 (d) (4) of the certified standing orders if any workman absents without leave or permission for more than 8 consecutive days he shall be deemed to have left the employment unless he gives satisfactory explanation for such absence. There was no response from Gopalan. Another notice of the same nature was published on the notice board on 23-1-1976. Even after that the workman did not attend for work or submit any explanation for his unauthorised absence. Thereafter a

registered notice was sent to Gopalan on 27-1-1976 intimating him that if he failed to render satisfactory explanation for his absence within 48 hours of the receipt of the said notice he shall be deemed to have left the service of the mills and his name will be struck off the rolls. Though the workman acknowledged receipt of the said notice, he did not submit any explanation within the stipulated time nor attend for duty. Hence the management was constrained to strike off his name from the rolls with effect from 11-2-1976 and a notice was given to the workman on 11-2-1976 by registered post which was acknowledged on 20-2-1976. On 21-2-1976 an explanation was submitted by the workman through another employee with a medical certificate. By that time his lien to the post had automatically been terminated by the operation of rule 10 (d) (4) of the standing orders and management had struck off his name from the rolls. The workman did not tender any explanation for his unauthorised absence before his name was struck off from the rolls, even though he was given several opportunities to tender satisfactory explanatoin. The averment that the workman was forced to leave for Badagara 22-12-1975 while he was on duty and that he applied for leave and immediately left for Badagara are false. The workman did not apply for leave 22-12-1975 or thereafter. It is incumbent of an employee to apply for and obtain to sanction before he avails of leave. As per the standing order an employee will loss his lien on appointment on his absence without leave or permission for more than 8 days. The workman was absent without leave from 22-12-1975 till 11-2-1976. The workman chose to tender his explanation only when he lost his lien on appointment and consequent to which his name was struck off the rolls. The management waited for a long time to take a decision. The management is unaware of the trade union activities of the workman. The management had never victimised a worker for his trade union activities. The termination of the service was automatic and not due to any act on the part of the management. The contention that principles of natural justice are violated is not correct. The management is not guilty of any unfair labour practice. The case that the managing director and other senior officers had orally promised the union leaders to reinstate the workman is false. The management really understands that the workman absented himself not because his father was seriously ill or that he was having any disesase, but for making preparation to leave the country for middlest seeking new employement. The management also understands that the workman had left the country and is happily employed in Dubai. The workman had abandoned his service and he is not entitled to claim reinstatement.

4. The Union in its rejoinder contents that the workman left the mills on 22-12-1975 while on duty by applying for leave. It is impossible for a man laid up at Badagara, due to Rheumatism to apply for leave or get information of the publication of the notice or to give reply to the notice. There was no wil ful neglect on the part of the employee and as such there could not be any automatic termination of the service of the workman.

He left the place on 22-12-1975 after obtaining permission. He denies the averment in para 5 of the written statement of the management. He could not attend duty only because of his illness. The management did not take any action, eventhough several employees were absent for more days earlier and after this case.

5. On the above pleadings the issue that arises for considerations is "is the termination of service of Sri K. Gopalan, Sider, with effect from 11-2-1976 valid proper and justifiable.

Issue:—That the workman was employed under the management is admitted. His case is that on the information received that his fathe si laid up with serious illnes., he left for his native place at Badagara on 22-12-1975, after obtaining leave. That the workman obtained leave for half a day on 22-12-1975 is seen from page 125 of exhibit M8. Thereafter from 23-12-1975 onwards, the workman did not attend duty or apply for leave. Hence the management displayed a notice on 2-1-1976 requiring the workman and another to lender satisfactory explanation for their absence or else, it will be deemed, that they left the service. The absence of the workman is shown as 8 days in the notice. Another notice to the same effect was published on 23-1-1976. Since no explanation was received the management as per the original exhibit M5 sent a notice to the workman requiring him to submit his explanation within 48 hours of the receipt of the notice as to why he should not be deemed as having left the service of the management. The said notice was accepted on 28-1-1978 as could be seen from exhibit M8. No explanation was submitted to the said notice also. Hence as per the original of exhibit M1 dated, 11-2-1976 the management informed the workman that his name is struck off the rolls, with effect from 11-2-1976. The same was received by the workman on 20-2-1976 as could be seen from exhibit M7. On the receipt of the same it is admitted that the workman submitted his explanation exhibit M3 through another workman on 21-2-1976 along with the medical certificate exhibit M2. In exhibit M3 the workman stated that on reaching his native place he became laid with the Rheumatism and wasunable to move his limbs. Which fact could not be intimated to the management as there was nobody else to assist him. He further stated that after his recovery from Rheumatism he was again laid up with typhoid. Exhibit M2 shows that the workman was laid up and wasunder the treatment of the Doctor who issued the same for enteric fever from 3-2-1976 to 20-2-1976. No medical certificate has been produced in this Court to show that the workman was suffering with Rheumatism and unable to move limbs prior to his being laid up with enteric fever. But in the claim statement of the union it is specifically averred that he had sent the medical certificates of both the Doctors along with the leave letter to the management through the union representative who had visited him after seeing the publication of the notice in the Notice Board. The same has not been specifically denied in the written statement of the

management. The same shows that the workman had submitted a certificate from the Ayurvedic Physician to show that he was laid up with Rheumatism. The workman had given evidence to the effect that he was laid up with Rheumatism and thereafter with Typhoid Fever and it was due to that, that he was unable to submit his explanation prior to exhibit M3. Exhibit M2 as well the unchallenged statement in the claim statement of the union show that his case that he was prevented from attending duty and from submitting his explanation was due to illness. The management did not consider the above aspect eventhough the explanation was filed on 21-2-1975 on the ground that the name of the workman was struck off the rolls on 11-2-1976 as per the provision of the certified standing orders. Exhibit M4 is the standing order. Order 10 (d) (4) states that "if any workman remains absent without leave or permissionfor more than 8 consecutive days, he shall be deemed to have left the employment unless he gives satisfactory explanation for such absence, in which case the period of absence shall be treated as leave without wages"... As stated earlier the management did not consider whether the explanation is satisfactory or not. On the ground that the provisions of the certified standing order had worked itself out creating an automatic termination on the service of the workman. The above provision in the standing order does not prescribe any particular period for submitting the explanation for the absence. As per Exhibit M5 the workman had been given 48 hours to submit his explanation on the receipt on the same which was received on 28-1-1976. The explanation exhibit M3 shows that the workman was laid up with Rheumatism unable even to move his limbs. Whether the same is correct or not has not been considered by the management. It is rather futile to require a person unable to move his limbs to submit an explanation. At any rate the truth or otherwise if the explanation was not considered by the management before exhibit M1, striking of his name from the rolls. It has come out in the evidence of MWI that many employees of the management who had absented without leave for 9 months and more have been provided with work. As such the fact that the workman who was unable to move his limbs did not submit his explanation within 48 hours of the receipt of exhibit M5 cannot be visited with the extreme penalty of termination of service.

6. The learned council for the management contended relying on the decision of the Supreme Court reported in 1963 (2) LLJ 63 (In the case between Buckingham and Carnatic Company Limited and Venkitaha and another) and 1967 (2) LLJ Page 883 (in the case between "National Engineer Industries Limited, Jaipur, and Hanuman) that in view of the provisions of exhibit M4 there is automatic termination of the service of the workman due to his absence without permission or leave for 8 days. The standing orders stated earlier shows that automatic termination of the service is not effected on the absence of the workman for 8 days but only in case were he fails to give satisfactory explanation for such absence. As stated by me earlier there is no period fixed for submitting the explanation. Hence

the contention of the management, that there is an automatic termination in view of the absence of the workman for 8 days cannot be upheld as correct. It was contended before me that the workman had left for Dubai and is employed there and as such be must he deemed to have abandoned the service. The fact that the workman had submitted his explanation for his absence along with the medical certificate and the attending circumstances do not warrant the case of abandoned.

7. In view of the explanation submitted by the workman along with exhibit M2 and the attended circumstances stated earlier and the fact that the management did not consider whether the explanation is satisfactory or not, the contention of the management that the service of the workman stood automatically terminated on 11-2-1976 cannot be accepted as correct. Therefore, he is entitled to reinstatement, exhibit M2 shows that the workman recovered from illness and fit for duty only on 20-2-1976. He filed the explanation only on 21-2-1976. Therefore, he can be held to be entitled to backwages only from 21-2-1976. The absence from 23-12-1975 to till 21-2-1976 will be treated as leave without wages. The issue is found accordingly.

8. In view of the above finding]I pass the award holding that the termination of the service of the workman is not proper and fustified and that he is entitled to reinstatement. He is entitled to backwages only from 21-2-1976, his absence from 23-12-1975 to 21-2-1976 will be treated as leave without wages.

9. This award will come into force 30 days after its publication in the official gazette.

Dictated to the Confidential] Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and passed by me on the 1st day of June 1981.

T.M. VISHNU NAMBISSAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Kozhikode.

Appendix

Witness examined on the side of the Worker :

1. WW1 K. Gopalan, Spinner, Badagara, P.O. Valiapally.
2. WW2 K.V. Raghavan, Spinner. P.O. Mavuancherry, Cannanore

Witness examined on the side of Management :

1. MW1 George, K.P., Factory Manager, Thiruveppathi Mills, Private Limited, Cannanore.

Documents marked on the side of the Worker :

Nil.

Documents marked on the side of the Management :

1. Ext. M1 True copy of Memo dated 11-2-1976 sent to the worker by the Management.
2. M2 Medical certificate dated 20-2-1976.
3. M3 Letter dated Nil fro the worker to the Factory Manager.
4. Ex. M4 Standing order of the Thiruvappathi Mills, Cannanore-1.
5. Ex. M5 Notice dated 27-1-1976 sent to the worker by the Management
6. ,, M6 Postal Acknowledgement card dated 30-1-1976.
7. ,, M7 Postal Acknowledgement card dated 23-2-1976.
8. ,, M8 Muster roll on 1-1-1975 to December 1975.
9. ,, M9 Muster roll from 1-1-1977 to November 1977.
10. ,, M10 Muster roll from 31-1-1978 to December 1978.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 2486/G2/81/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th July* 1981.

The following draft of certain Rules to amend the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977, which the Government of Kerala propose to make in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 53 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108) read with section 53F thereof and subsection (1) of section 54 of the Madras Town Planning Act, 1920 (Madras Act VII of 1920) read with section 54F thereof, is hereby published for general information as required by section 42 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108) and section 44A of the Madras Town Planning Act, 1920 (Madras Act VII of 1920).

Notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken up for consideration on or after one month from the date of publication of this notification and that any objection or suggestion which may be received in respect of the said draft before the period specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections and suggestions shall be addressed to the Special Secretary to Government, Local Administration and Social Welfare Department, Government Secretariat, Trivandrum.

RULES

1. *Short title and commencement*:—(1) These rules may be called the Greater Cochin Development Authority (Amendment) Rules, 1981.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. *Amendment*:—In the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977, in sub-rule (1) of rule 21.

(i) in clause (a), for the words "Seven hundred and fifty rupees", the words "Nine hundred and seventy five rupees" shall be substituted;

(ii) in clause (b), for the words "Seven hundred and fifty rupees" and "One thousand two hundred rupees", the words "Nine hundred and seventy five rupees", and "One thousand five hundred and fifty rupees" shall, respectively, be substituted.

(iii) in clause (c), for the words "Rupees one thousand two hundred" and "One thousand five hundred rupees", the words "One thousand five hundred and fifty rupees" and "One thousand and eight hundred rupees" shall respectively be substituted.

By order of the Governor,
GHINNAMMA PAILY,
Deputy Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Government have proposed to make certain amendments to the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 2486/G2/81/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th July* 1981.

The following draft of certain Rules to amend the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977, which the Government of Kerala propose to make in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 53 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108) read with section 53F thereof and subsection (1) of section 54 of the Madras Town Planning Act, 1920 (Madras Act VII of 1920) read with section 54F thereof, is hereby published for general information as required by section 42 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108) and section 44A of the Madras Town Planning Act, 1920 (Madras Act VII of 1920).

Notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken up for consideration on or after one month from the date of publication of this notification and that any objection or suggestion which may be received in respect of the said draft before the period specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections and suggestions shall be addressed to the Special Secretary to Government, Local Administration and Social Welfare Department, Government Secretariat, Trivandrum.

RULES

1. *Short title and commencement*:—(1) These rules may be called the Greater Cochin Development Authority (Amendment) Rules, 1981.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. *Amendment*:—In the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977, in sub-rule (1) of rule 21.

(i) in clause (a), for the words "Seven hundred and fifty rupees", the words "Nine hundred and seventy five rupees" shall be substituted;

(ii) in clause (b), for the words "Seven hundred and fifty rupees" and "One thousand two hundred rupees", the words "Nine hundred and seventy five rupees", and "One thousand five hundred and fifty rupees" shall, respectively, be substituted.

(iii) in clause (c), for the words "Rupees one thousand two hundred" and "One thousand five hundred rupees", the words "One thousand five hundred and fifty rupees" and "One thousand and eight hundred rupees" shall respectively be substituted.

By order of the Governor,
CHINNAMMA PAILY,
Deputy Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Government have proposed to make certain amendments to the Greater Cochin Development Authority Rules, 1977. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Irrigation and Rehabilitation (D) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 10996/D1/81/I&R. *Dated, Trivandrum, 16th July* 1981.

The Notification No. 1 (2) Spl. Cell/81-SS.II.(C) dated 6th July, 1981 of Government of India, Ministry of Supply and Rehabilitation (Department of Rehabilitation) is hereby republished for general information.

By order of the Governor,
O. P. R. MENON,
Deputy Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Ministry of Supply and Rehabilitation

(Department of Rehabilitation)

Jaisalmer House, Mansingh Road,
New Delhi, the 6th July 1981.

NOTIFICATION

S. O. .... In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri H. J. L. Goswami, Deputy Chief settlement Commissioner, in the Department of Rehabilitation as Deputy Custodian General of Evacuee Property for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Deputy Custodian General by or under the said Act.

(Sd.)
N. M. WADHWANI,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 1 (2)/Spl. Cell/81-SS II. (C).

கேரள அரசு

சட்டம் (சட்டமியற்றல்-சி) துறை

அறிவிக்கை

என். 17707/சட்டமியற்றல் சி-3/80/சட்டம்.

திருவனந்தபுரம், 1981 ஜனவரி 20 / 1902 பௌஷா 30

கேரள சட்டமன்றப் பேரவையின் பின்வரும் சட்டம் பொதுத் தகவலுக்கென இதனால் பிரசுரிக்கப்படுகிறது. சட்டமன்றத்தால் நிறைவேற்றப்பட்ட மசோதாவுக்கு 1981 ஜனுவரி 17-வது நாள் குடியரசுத்தலைவரின் ஒப்புதல் கிடைக்கப்பெற்றது

ஆளுநரின் ஆணைப்படி,
கெ. விஸ்வநாதன் நாயர்.
தனிச் செயலாளர் (சட்டம்)

The Kerala Relief Undertaking (Special Provisions) (Amendment) Act, 1980

1981-ன் 9-வது சட்டம்

1980-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) திருத்தச் சட்டம்

1961-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) சட்டத்தினைத் திருத்துவதற்கான ஒரு சட்டம்.

தோற்றுவாய்.—இதன் பின் வரும் நோக்கங்களுக்காக 1961-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) சட்டத்தினை திருத்துவது உகந்ததாகையால்,

இது இந்தியக்குடியரசின் முப்பத்தொன்றுவது வருடத்தில் பின் வருமாறு சட்டமாககப்படட்டும்.

1. சுருக்கத்தலைப்பும் தொடக்கமும்.—(1) இந்தச்சட்டம் 1980-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) திருத்தச் சட்டமென அழைக்கப்படலாம்.

(2) 1980 நவம்பர் 21-ம் நாள் அமலில் வந்திருப்பதாகக் கருதப்படும்.

2. பிரிவு 3-ன் திருத்தம்.—(இதன் பின்னர் முதற் சட்டம் என்று குறிப்பிடப்படும்) 1961-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) சட்டத்தின் (1962-ன் 6) பிரிவு 3-ன் துணைப்பிரிவு (2)-ல் ''ஐந்து வருடங்கள்'' எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப்பதில் ''பத்து வருடங்கள்'' எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

3. பிரிவு 4-ன் திருத்தம்.—முதற் சட்டத்தின் பிரிவு 4-ல்,

(எ) உட்பிரிவு (எ)-க்குப் பிறகு கீழ்க்காணும் உட்பிரிவு புகுத்தப்பட வேண்டும், அதாவது:—

"(எஎ) பிரிவு 3-ன் துணைப்பிரிவு (2)-ன் கீழ் நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் அவ்வாறே தொடர்ந்து நடந்துவருகின்ற கால அளவில், அந்த நிறுவனம் ஒரு நிவாரண நிறுவனமென அறிவிக்கப்படுவதற்கு முன்னால் ஏற்பட்டுள்ள 1963-ன் கேரள பொது விற்பனை வரிச்சட்டத்தின் (1963-ன் 15) கீழ் நல்கப்பட வேண்டிய வரி நல்குவதற்குரிய நிறுவனத்தின் ஏதேனும் கடப்பாடு மற்றும் அதனைச் செலுத்துவதனை கட்டாயப்படுத்தும் ஏதேனும் நடவடிக்கை தற்காலிகமாக நிறுத்திவைக்கப்படவேண்டும். மேலும் ஏதேனும் நீதிமன்றம், தீர்ப்பாயம் அதிகாரி அல்லது அதிகாரக்குழுவின் முன்னால் முடிவுறாதிருக்கின்ற அத்தகைய வரியின் விதிப்பு, கமத்தல், வசூல் மற்றும் சேகரிப்பு சம்பந்தப்பட்ட எல்லா நடவடிக்கைகளும் நிறுத்திவைக்கப்படவேண்டும்."

(பி) உட்பிரிவு (சி)-ல், "உட்பிரிவு (பி)" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் அடைப்புக் குறி மற்றும் எழுத்துக்குப்பதில், அவைகள் வரும் இரண்டு இடங்களிலும் "உட்பிரிவு (எஎ) அல்லது உட்பிரிவு (பி)" எனும் வார்த்தைகள், அடைப்புக் குறிகள் மற்றும் எழுத்துக்கள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

4. ரத்தாக்கலும் தவிர்ப்பும்.—(1) 1980-ன் கேரள நிவாரண நிறுவனங்கள் (தனி ஏற்பாடுகள்) திருத்த அவசரச் சட்டம் (1980-ன் 10) இதனால் ரத்து செய்யப்படுகிறது.

(2) அவ்வாறு ரத்து செய்யப்பட்ட போதிலும் மேற்சொன்ன அவசரச் சட்டத்தினால் திருத்தப்பட்ட முதற் சட்டத்தின் கீழ் செய்யப்பட்ட ஏதேனும் செயல், அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்ட ஏதேனும் காரியம் இந்தச் சட்டத்தினால் திருத்தப்பட்ட முதற் சட்டத்தின் கீழ் செய்யப்பட்டதாக அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டதாகக் கருதப்படும்.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I **Section iii**

கேரள அரசு

சட்டம் (சட்டமியற்றல்-எ) துறை

அறிவிக்கை

என். 12600/சட்டம் இயற்றல்-(எ) 2/79/சட்டம்.

1978 அக்டோபர் 23
திருவனந்தபுரம், ———————
1901 கார்த்திகா 1

கேரள சட்டமன்றத்தின் பின்வரும் சட்டம் பொதுத் தகவலுக்கென இதனால் பிரசுரம் செய்யப்படுகிறது. சட்டமன்றப்பேரவையால் நிறைவேற்றப் பட்ட இந்த மசோதாவிற்கு 1979 அக்டோபர் 13-ம் நாள் ஆளுநரின் அனுமதி கிடைக்கப்பெற்றுள்ளது.

ஆளுநர் ஆணைப்படி,
கெ. விஸ்வநாதன் நாயர்,
சட்டச் செயலாளர்.

The Kerala Public Services (Amendment) Act, 1979

1979-ன் சட்டம் எண் 20

1979-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் (திருத்த) சட்டம்

1968-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவதற்கான ஒரு சட்டம்.

தோற்றுவாய்.—இதன் பின்காணும் நோக்கங்களுக்காக 1968-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவது உகந்ததாகையால்:

இது இந்தியக் குடியரசின் முப்பதாவது வருடத்தில் பின் வருமாறு சட்டமாக்கப்படட்டும்.

1. சுருக்கத்தலைப்பும் தொடக்கவும்.—(1) இந்தச் சட்டம் 1979-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் (திருத்த) சட்டம் என அழைக்கப்படலாம்.

(2) இது 1979 ஜூலை மாதம் 3-ம் தேதி அமலுக்கு வந்திருப்பதாகக் கருதப்பட வேண்டும்.

2. பிரிவு 1-க்குப் பதில் புதிய பிரிவு.—(இதன் பின்னர் முதற் சட்டமென அழைக்கப்படும்) 1968-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் சட்டத்தின் (1968-ன் 19) பிரிவு 1-க்குப்பதிலாக கீழ்க்காணும் பிரிவு இடப்பட வேண்டும் மற்றும் எப்போதும் இடப்பட்டிருப்பதாகவும் கருதப்படவேண்டும், அதாவது —

"1. சுருக்கத்தலைப்பும் தொடக்கவும்.—(1) இந்தச் சட்டம் 1968-ன் கேரள பப்ளிக் சர்விசுகள் சட்டம் என அழைக்கப்படலாம்.

(2) பிரிவு 3, 1968 செப்டம்பர் 17-ம் நாள் அமலுக்கு வரவேண்டும்,
மற்றும் இந்த சட்டத்தின் மீதமுள்ள ஏற்பாடுகள் 1956, நவம்பர் 1-ம் நாள்
அமலுக்கு வந்திருப்பதாகக் கருதப்படவேண்டும்."

3. பிரிவு 3-க்குப் பதில் புதிய பிரிவு.—முதற்சட்டத்தின் பிரிவு 3-க்குப்
பதில் கீழ்க்காணும் பிரிவு இடப்படவேண்டும், அதாவது—

"3. நிலவிலுள்ள விதிகள் தொடர்தல்.—கேரள மாநிலத்தின் காரியங்கள்
சம்பந்தமாக, பப்ளிக் சர்வீசுகளுக்கு நடத்தப்பட்ட ஆள் தேர்வு மற்றும் பதவி
களை ஒழுங்கு செய்யும் பொருட்டு இந்திய அரசியலமைப்புச் சட்டத்தின்
309-வது விதிக்குரிய காப்புவாசகத்தின் கீழ் உண்டு பண்ணப்பட்டனவும் 1968
செப்டம்பர் 17-ம் நாளுக்கு நேர் முந்திய காலத்தில் அமலில் இருந்தனவுமான
எல்லா விதிகளும் இச்சட்டத்தின் கீழ் உண்டுபண்ணப்பட்டனவாகக் கருதப்பட
வேண்டுமென்றும், இச்சட்டத்தின் கீழ் உண்டு பண்ணப்பட்ட விதி ளால் அவை
புறக்கணிக்கப் பட்டாலன்றியும் அதுவரையிலும் அமலில் தொடர்ந்துவரும்.

4. சட்டநீக்கமும் தவிர்ப்பும்.—(1) 1979-ன் கேரளப் பப்ளிக் சர்வீசுகள்
(திருத்த) அவசரச் சட்டம் (1979-ன் 4) இதனால் சட்ட நீக்கம் செய்யப்படுகிறது.
இத்தகைய சட்ட நீக்கம் இருந்தபோதிலும் மேற்சொன்ன அவசரச் சட்டத்தின்
கீழ் செய்த எதுவும் அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்ட எந்த செயலும் இந்த
சட்டத்தின் கீழ் செய்யப்பட்டிருப்பதாக அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டிருப்பதாகக்
கருதப்பட வேண்டும்.

கேரள அரசு
சட்டம் (சட்டம் இயற்றல்-எ) துறை
அறிவிக்கை

எண். 4776-சட்டம் இயற்றல்-(எ) 2/80/சட்டம்.

திருவனந்தபுரம், 1980 ஏப்ரல் 21 / 1902 வைசாகா 1

கேரள மாநில சட்டமன்றப் பேரவையின் பின்வரும் சட்டம் பொதுத் தகவலுக்கென இதனால் பிரசுரிக்கப்படுகிறது. சட்டமன்றப் பேரவை நிறைவேற்றிய மசோதாவிற்கு 1980 ஏப்ரல் 17-ம் நாள் ஆளுநரின் ஒப்புதல் கிடைக்கப்பெற்றது.

ஆளுநர் ஆணைப்படி,
கெ. விஸ்வநாதன் நாயர்,
தனிச் செயலாளர்.

The Payment of Salaries and Allowances Amendment Act, 1980.

1980-ன் 5-வது சட்டம்

1980-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் (திருத்த) சட்டம்

1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவதற்கான ஒரு சட்டம்.

தோற்றுவாய்.—இதன் பின்னர் வரும் நோக்கங்களுக்காக 1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவது உகந்ததாகையால்,

இது இந்தியக்குடியரசின் முப்பத்தொன்றாவது வருடத்தில் பின்வருமாறு சட்டமாக்கப்படட்டும்.—

1. சுருக்கத்தலைப்பு.—இச்சட்டம் 1980-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் (திருத்த) சட்டம் என்று அழைக்கப்படலாம்.

2. பிரிவு 8-ன் திருத்தம்.—(இதன் பின்னர் முதற் சட்டம் என்று அழைக்கப்படும்) 1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தின் (1951-ன் XIV) பிரிவு 8-ன் காப்புவாசகம் மற்றும் துணைப்பிரிவு (1)-ன் உட்பிரிவு (பி)-க்கான விளக்கம் விடப்படவேண்டும்.

3. அட்டவணையின் திருத்தம்.—முதற் சட்டத்தின் அட்டவணையில்

(1) பத்தியில் I-ல்,

(i) உட்பிரிவு (1)-ன் துணை உட்பிரிவு (எ)-ல்

(எ) "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப் பதிலாக "தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

(பி) காப்புவாசகம் நீக்கப்படவேண்டும்,

(ii) உட்பிரிவு (2)-ன் துணை உட்பிரிவு (எ)-ல்

(எ) "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப்பதிலாக தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

(பி) காப்பு வாசகம் நீக்கப்படவேண்டும்,

(2) பத்தி III-ல் "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப்பதிலாக அவை வரும் இரு இடங்களிலும் "தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்பட வேண்டும்.

(2) பிரிவு 3, 1968 செப்டம்பர் 17-ம் நாள் அமலுக்கு வரவேண்டும், மற்றும் இந்த சட்டத்தின் மீதமுள்ள ஏற்பாடுகள் 1956, நவம்பர் 1-ம் நாள் அமலுக்கு வந்திருப்பதாகக் கருதப்படவேண்டும்.''

3. பிரிவு 3-க்குப் பதில் புதிய பிரிவு.—முதற்சட்டத்தின் பிரிவு 3-க்குப் பதில் கீழ்க்காணும் பிரிவு இடப்படவேண்டும், அதாவது—

"3. நிலவிலுள்ள விதிகள் தொடர்தல்.—கேரள மாநிலத்தின் காரியங்கள் சம்பந்தமாக, பப்ளிக் சர்வீசுகளுக்கு நடத்தப்பட்ட ஆள் தேர்வு மற்றும் பதவி களை ஒழுங்கு செய்யும் பொருட்டு இந்திய அரசியலமைப்புச் சட்டத்தின் 309-வது விதிக்குரிய காப்புவாசகத்தின் கீழ் உண்டு பண்ணப்பட்டனவும் 1968 செப்டம்பர் 17-ம் நாளுக்கு நேர் முந்திய காலத்தில் அமலில் இருந்தனவுமான எல்லா விதிகளும் இச்சட்டத்தின் கீழ் உண்டுபண்ணப்பட்டனவாகக் கருதப்பட வேண்டுமென்றும், இச்சட்டத்தின் கீழ் உண்டு பண்ணப்பட்ட விதி னால் அவை புறக்கணிக்கப் பட்டாலன்றியும் அதுவரையிலும் அமலில் தொடர்ந்துவரும்.

4. சட்ட நீக்கமும் தவிர்ப்பும்.—(1) 1979-ன் கேரளப் பப்ளிக் சர்வீசுகள் (திருத்த) அவசரச் சட்டம் (1979-ன் 4) இதனால் சட்ட நீக்கம் செய்யப்படுகிறது. இத்தகைய சட்ட நீக்கம் இருந்தபோதிலும் மேற்சொன்ன அவசரச் சட்டத்தின் கீழ் செய்த எதுவும் அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்ட எந்த செயலும் இந்த சட்டத்தின் கீழ் செய்யப்பட்டிருப்பதாக அல்லது மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டிருப்பதாகக் கருதப்பட வேண்டும்.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981
PART I

Section iii

கேரள அரசு

சட்டம் (சட்டம் இயற்றல்-எ) துறை

அறிவிக்கை

எண். 4776-சட்டம் இயற்றல்-(எ) 2/80/சட்டம்.

திருவனந்தபுரம், 1980 ஏப்பிரல் 21 / 1902 வைசாகா 1

கேரள மாநில சட்டமன்றப் பேரவையின் பின்வரும் சட்டம் பொதுத் தகவலுக்கென இதனால் பிரசுரிக்கப்படுகிறது. சட்டமன்றப் பேரவை நிறைவேற்றிய மசோதாவிற்கு 1980 ஏப்ரல் 17-ம் நாள் ஆளுநரின் ஒப்புதல் கிடைக்கப்பெற்றது.

ஆளுநர் ஆணைப்படி,
கெ. விஸ்வநாதன் நாயர்,
தனிச் செயலாளர்.

The Payment of Salaries and Allowances Amendment Act, 1980.

1980-ன் 5-வது சட்டம்

1980-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் (திருத்த) சட்டம்

1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவதற்கான ஒரு சட்டம்.

தோற்றுவாய்.—இதன் பின்னர் வரும் நோக்கங்களுக்காக 1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தினை மேலும் திருத்துவது உகந்ததாகையால்,

இது இந்தியக்குடியரசின் முப்பத்தொன்றுவது வருடத்தில் பின்வருமாறு சட்டமாக்கப்படட்டும்.—

1. சுருக்கத்தலைப்பு.—இச்சட்டம் 1980-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் (திருத்த) சட்டம் என்று அழைக்கப்படலாம்.

2. பிரிவு 8-ன் திருத்தம்.—(இதன் பின்னர் முதற் சட்டம் என்று அழைக்கப்படும்) 1951-ன் சம்பளம் மற்றும் படிகள் வழங்கல் சட்டத்தின் (1951-ன் XIV) பிரிவு 8-ன் காப்புவாசகம் மற்றும் துணைப்பிரிவு (1)-ன் உட்பிரிவு (பி)-க்கான விளக்கம் விடப்படவேண்டும்.

3. அட்டவணையின் திருத்தம்.—முதற் சட்டத்தின் அட்டவணையில்

(1) பத்தியில் I-ல்,

(i) உட்பிரிவு (1)-ன் துணை உட்பிரிவு (எ)-ல்

(எ) "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப் பதிலாக "தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

(பி) காப்புவாசகம் நீக்கப்படவேண்டும்,

(ii) உட்பிரிவு (2)-ன் துணை உட்பிரிவு (எ)-ல்

(எ) "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப்பதிலாக தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்படவேண்டும்.

(பி) காப்பு வாசகம் நீக்கப்படவேண்டும்,

(2) பத்தி III-ல் "ஐம்பத்தி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகளுக்குப்பதிலாக அவை வரும் இரு இடங்களிலும் "தொண்ணூற்றி ஐந்து காசுகள்" எனும் வார்த்தைகள் இடப்பட வேண்டும்.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Housing (J) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Ms. 60/81/Housing. *Dated, Trivandrum, 22nd July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 957/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of subsection (2) of section 161 of the Kerala State Housing Board Act, 1971 (19 of 1971), the Government of Kerala hereby make the following Rules further to amend the Kerala State Housing Board (Payment of Allowances to Non-official Members) Rules, 1972, issued under G. O. (Ms.) 71/72/LA&SWD dated the 28th February 1972 published as S. R. O. No. 119/72 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 11 dated 14th March, 1972, namely:—

Rules

1. *Short title and commencement:*—(1) These rules may be called the Kerala State Housing Board (Payment of Allowances to Non-official Members) Amendment Rules, 1981.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. *Amendment of Rule 7:*—In the Kerala State Housing Board (Payment of Allowances to Non-official Members Rules, 1972, for rule 7, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:

"7. *Travelling allowance of non-official members who are members of the State Legislative Assembly:*—Every non-official member of the Board who is a Member of the State Legislative Assembly shall be entitled to such travelling allowances as are admissible under the provisions of the Payment of Salaries and Allowances Act, 1951 (14 of 1951)".

By order of the Governor,
T. Madhava Menon,
Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This note is not part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Committee on Subordinate Legislation in its Eleventh Report has observed that the existing rule 7 of the Kerala State Housing Board (Payment of Allowances to Non-official Members) Rules, 1972 could be differently worded as in the Kerala Service Rules and similar other Rules. Accordingly, the above rule has been redrafted and this notification is intended to achieve this purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 18902/E1/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 17th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 958/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (Central Act XI of 1948), the Government of Kerala hereby nominate the Administrator, VadakkumnathanGroup, Cochin Devaswom Board, Trichur, as Employers'representative in the vacancy caused by the cessation of the membership of Sri K. M. Ramakrishnan, Timber Merchant, Railway Station, P. O. Nilambur, Malappuram District, in the Committee appointed under clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 5 of the said Act to hold enquiries and advise Government in the matter of fixation of minimum rates of wages payable to the employees employed in Handling and Care of Elephants in the State of Kerala, as per Notification G. O. Rt. No. 1357/80/LBR dated the 26th September, 1980 and published as S. R. O. No. 1003/80 in Part-I of the Kerala Gazette No. 44 dated the 4th November, 1980 and consequently make the following amendment to the said Notification, namely:—

AMENDMENT

In the said Notification, under the heading, "Employers' Representatives"; for serial No. 8 and the entries against it, the following shall be substituted, namely :—

"8. The Administrator, Vadakkumnathan Group, Cochin Devaswom Board, Trichur".

By order of the Governor,
C. P. NAIR,
Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

Shri K. M. Ramakrishnan, Timber Merchant, Railway Station, P.O. Nilambur, Malappuram District, one of the employers' representatives has ceased to be the Member of the Committee due to his failure to attend three consecutive meetings of the Committee. Government have now decided to appoint the Administrator, Vadakkumnathan Group, Cochin Devaswom Board, Trichur in the place of Shri K. M. Ramakrishnan.

This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 929/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 25*th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 959/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 5 of the Kerala Toddy Workers' Welfare Fund Act, 1969 (22 of 1969) and in supersession of the notification issued under G. O. (Rt.) No. 1442/80/LBR dated the 10th October 1980, published as S. R. O. No. 1097/80 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 47 dated the 25th November, 1980, the Government of Kerala hereby make the following scheme further to amend the Kerala Toddy Workers' Welfare Fund Scheme, 1969, published in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 305 dated the 29th December, 1969, namely:—

SCHEME

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(a) This Scheme may be called the Kerala Toddy Workers' Welfare Fund (Amendment) Scheme, 1981.

(b) They shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of April, 1980.

2. *Amendment of the scheme.*—In the Kerala Toddy Workers' Welfare Fund Scheme, 1969, after paragraph 32A, the following paragraph shall be inserted, namely:—

"32B. *Payment of interest on provident fund balance in case of closure of accounts due to retirement etc.*—(1) Interest for a financial year shall be paid to a member on the balance amount (including interest allowed for the previous year) in his Provident Fund Account at the beginning of each financial year as reduced by the amount of advance, if any, taken during the financial year, at the rate fixed under paragraph 32A.

(2) In case of closure of an account due to resignation, termination, retirement or death of a member, interest shall be paid on the balance amount in his Provident Fund Account for all the completed calendar months preceeding the date on which the final payment is authorised, irrespective of the date of receipt of the claim.

(3) The aggregate amount of interest credited to the account of any member of the fund shall be debited to the head of account, 'Interest Suspense Account'.

By order of the Governor,
C. P. NAIR,
Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the Notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Government intend to change the date of effect of the new paragraph 32B inserted in the Kerala Toddy Workers' Welfare Fund Scheme, 1969 by notification No. G. O. (Rt.) 1442/80/LBR dated 10-10-1980 and published as S.R.O.No. 1037/80 Part I of Kerala Gazette No. 47 dated 25th November, 1980, from 1-4-1979 to 1-4-1980 in order to avoid recovery of excess interest paid to the workers who had retired before 1-4-1980. The notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. G.O. (Ms.) 64/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 30*th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 960/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of section 2 of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960), the Government of Kerala hereby declare the "Offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors in the State" to be commercial establishments for the purposes of the said Act.

By order of the Governor,
C. P. NAIR,
Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors in the State were exempted by (1) the notification No. L1-290/50/DD (2) dated the 15th July, 1950 published in Travancore-Cochin Gazette dated 18-7-1950 and (2) by notification issued in G. O. No. 4177/DD dated 10-8-1949 published in Fort St George Gazette, Madras from all the provisions of the Travancore-Cochin Shops and Establishments Act, 1125 and Madras Shops and Establishments Act, 1947 respectively. The Government propose to cancel these exemptions and bring the offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors within the coverage of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960. This notification is intended to achieve the purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. G. O. (Ms.) 65/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 961/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960), and in supersession of Notifications (i) No. L1-290/50/DD(2) dated the 15th July, 1950, published on page 853 in Part I of the Travancore-Cochin Gazette No. 29 dated the 18th July, 1950; (ii) G. O. (Ms.) 4177/DD dated the 10th August 1949 of the Government of Madras (iii) G. O. No. 4390/DD dated the 11th August 1949, of the Government of Madras (iv) G. O. No. 4392/DD dated the 29th August 1949 of the Government of Madras and (v) G. O. No. 4665/DD dated the 15th September, 1949 of the Government of Madras, the Government of Kerala being satisfied that public interest so requires, hereby exempt the following establishments from all the provisions of the said Act, namely:—

1. The establishments run by the Indian Coffee Board in the State.
2. The Offices of air services companies operating in the State.

By order of the Governor,
G. P. Nair,
Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors in the State were exempted by (1) the Notification No. L1/290/50/DD(2) dated the 15th July, 1950 published in Travancore-Cochin Gazette dated 18-7-1950, (2) by notification issued in G. O. No. 4177/DD dated 10-8-1949, (3) by notification issued by Government of Madras in G. O. No. 4390/Development Department dated 11th August, 1949 (4) by notification in G. O. No. 4392/DD dated 29-8-1949 issued by Government of Madras and (5 by notification in G. O. No. 4665/DD dated 15-9-1949 issued by Government of Madras from all the provisions of the Travancore-Cochin Shops and Establishments Act, 1125 and Madras Shops and Establishments Act, 1947 respectively. The Government propose to cancel this exemption and bring

the Offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors within the coverage of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960. With a view to avoiding confusion and giving a clear idea to the enforcing officers, all previous notifications of exemption given to certain establishments are superseded and fresh exemption are given to other establishments than the offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors which results in cancellation of exemption granted to the offices of Registered Accountants and Auditors but the Establishments run by the Indian Coffee Board and the offices of air services companies will continue to be exempted, as before. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I **Section iv**

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. G. O. (Rt.) 943/81/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 962/81.—Whereas the Government are satisfied that public interest so requires that the opening time of Ashok Talkies, Badagara, should be fixed as 12 noon, for the purpose of conducting noon shows;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 10 of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960), the Government of Kerala hereby order that for a period of one year from the date of this notification, the said theatre shall not be opened earlier than 9 a. m. on Sundays and Public holidays which fall on other days of the week, and 12 noon on all other days, or closed on any day later than 2 a. m.

By order of the Governor,

C. P. Nair,

Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The provisions of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960) are applicable to the Cinema Theatres in this State. The Managing Partner, Ashok Talkies, Badagara has requested Government to issue orders permitting them to open his theatre at 12 noon and close at 2 a. m. Government consider that the request may be allowed for a period of one year. This notification is to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Public Works and Electricity (Electricity-A) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 22971/ELA2/81/PW&E. *Dated, Trivandrum, 26th June* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 963/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948). read with rule 19 of the Kerala State Electricity Board Rules, 1957, the Government of Kerala hereby make the following amendments to the notification, constituting the Local Advisory Committees for the Divisions and Subdivisions under the Kerala State Electricity Board, published under Notification G. O. Rt. 1293/80/PW&E dated the 30th August, 1980 as S.R.O. No 1000/80 in the Kerala Gazette No. 44 dated the 4th November, 1980, namely:—

AMENDMENTS

In the schedule to the said Notification—

(1) in item 'V, Divisional Local Advisory Committee, Electrical Division, Karunagappally', after serial No. 7, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"8. S. Govinda Kurup, M.L.A.";

(2) in item 'VI, Divisional Local Advisory Committee, Electrical Division, Adoor', after serial No. 8, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"9. S. Govinda Kurup, M.L.A.";

(3) in item 'XIV. Divisional Local Advisory Committee, Electrical Division, Chengannur', serial No. "6 S. Govinda Kurup, M.L.A." shall be omitted.

By order of the Governor.

N. CHANDRASEKHARAN NAIR,
Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This note is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

In notification G. O. Rt. No. 1293/80/PW&E dated 30th August 1980, Government have constituted Local Advisory Committees for the Divisions and Subdivisions under the Kerala State Electricity Board. Sri S. Govinda Kurup, M.L.A. has represented to Government that the Mavelikara Assembly Constituency which he represents includes the Karunagappally and Adoor Electrical Divisions and his name has not been included in the Local Advisory Committees of those divisions, whereas he has been included in the Divisional Local Advisory Committee, Chengannur which does not form part of the Mavelikara Constituency. He has therefore requested to delete his name from the Chengannur Divisional Advisory Committee and to include his name in the Divisional Advisory Committees of Karunagappally and Adoor. This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Health (D) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 30407/D2/81-1/HD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 24th July* 1981.

S.R.O. No 964/81.—Under sub-rule (2) of rule 154 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 and in supersession of Notification No. 30836/D2/77/HD dated the 25th November, 1977 published as S.R.O. No. 1150/77 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 50 dated the 13th December, 1977 the Government of Kerala hereby approve Dr. B. Chakrapani Warrier, Deputy Drugs Controller (Ayurveda), as an expert in Ayurveda (including Siddha) and Unani Systems of Medicines for the purpose of the said rule.

By order of the Governor,
K. Srinivasan,
Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Rule 154(2) of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 states that a licence for manufacture for sale of Ayurvedic (including Siddha) or Unani Drugs shall be granted by the Licensing Authority after consultation with the expert in Ayurveda (including Siddha) or Unani System of Medicines which the State Government may approve on this behalf. Dr. G. Prathapachandran Nair, Assistant Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) was approved as an expert in Ayurveda (including Siddha) or Unani System of Medicines under rule 154 (2) of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 vide notification No. 30836/D2/77/HD dated the 25th November, 1977. On termination of the appointment of Dr. G. Prathapachandran Nair as Assistant Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) under G.O.Rt. No. 621/81/HD dated 2-3-1981, Dr. B Chakrapani Warrier, the Seniormost Professor has been appointed as Deputy Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) vide G.O.Rt. No. 1584/81/HD dated 27-5-1981. It is proposed to approve Dr. B. Chakrapani Warrier, Deputy Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) as an expert under rule 154 (2) of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 in supersession of the earlier Notification in the matter. The notification is intended to achieve this object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Health (D) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 30407/D2/81-2/HD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 24th July* 1981.

S. R. O. No. 965/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33G of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (Central Act 23 of 1940), and in supersession of Notification No. 39570/D2/74/HD dated the 7th November, 1974, published in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 49 dated the 3rd December, 1974, the Government of Kerala hereby appoint Dr. B. Chakrapani Warrier, Deputy Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) to be an Inspector for the purposes of Chapter IVA of the said Act for the whole of the State of Kerala.

By order of the Governor,
K. Srinivasan,
Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Dr. B. Chakrapani Warrier has been appointed as Deputy Drugs Controller (Ayurveda) under G.O.Rt. No. 1584/81/HD dated 27-5-1981. It is proposed to appoint him as Inspector for the purpose of Chapter IVA of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (Central Act 23 of 1940) for the whole State of Kerala in supersession of the existing Notification in the matter. The notification is intended to achieve this object.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I

Section iv

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 13052/TC2/81/TF& P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 8th July* 1981.

S.R.O. No. 967/81.—Whereas representations have been received by Government from the stage carriage operators specified in the annexure to this notification, that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 31st March, 1981 and 30th June, 1981 in respect of the stage carriages particulars of which are specified in the said annexure could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of tax in respect of these vehicles may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operators of the said stage carriages could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarters ended on the 31st March, 1981 and 30th June, 1981 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriages due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government, consider it necessary to extend, in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 31st March, 1981 and 30th June, 1981 in respect of the said stage carriages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March, 1981 and the 30th June, 1981 in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State, shall be paid on or before the 31st May, 1981 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the Notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S.R.O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

Annexure

Sl. No.	Name of Stage Carriage Operators	Registration No. of the Stage Carriages
(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	Sri K. C. David, Kodiveedu, Chirattupalam, Fort Cochin.	KRE 3399
2.	Shri C. A. Chandy, Chirthalattu Ithithanam, Changanacherry, Kottayam.	KLK 9974
3.	Shri K. M. Joseph, Karikkandom, Pongamthanam P.O., Vakathanam	KLK 8548
4.	Shri Chinna Meeran Rawother, Padinjattil Veedu, Pathanapuram, Quilon.	KLU 385

By order of the Governor,
P. SANKARAN NAIR,
Additional Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification).

Government have received certain representations from the stage carriage operators as shown in the annexure requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended 31st March, 1981 and 30th June, 1981 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. 800/81/T F& P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 8th July,* 1981.

S.R.O.No. 968/81.—Whereas the Station Manager, Indian Airlines, Louis Hall Broadway, Ernakulam has decided to use vehicle No. AAT. 932, details of which are hereunder given, for meeting the requirements of his office;

And whereas, the overhang of the said vehicle exceeds the limit prescribed under sub-rule (2) of rule 268 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961;

And whereas, the Government of Kerala are satisfied that the said vehicle can conveniently be used as a non-transport omnibus for office requirements;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 368 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 268 of the said Rules.

DETAILS OF THE VEHICLE

Registration No.	AAT 932
Engine No.	312978 7617912
Chassis No.	34Z 0507617413
Wheel Base	205″(520.7 cm.)
Overall height	2.78 Meter
Overall width	2.34 Meter
Overall length	9.00 Meter
Overhang	2.68 Meter (51.4%)

By order of the Governor,
P. SANKARAN NAIR,
Additional Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The Station Manager, Indian Airlines, Louis Hall Broadway, Cochin has requested to exempt vehicle No. AAT. 932 from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 268 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961 as the overhang of the vehicle exceed the prescribed limit. Government have decided to grant the exemption sought. Hence this notification.

Kerala Gazette No. 33 dated 18th August 1981.
PART I Section iv

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

STATE ARCHIVES DEPARTMENT—POST OF ATTENDERS— QUALIFICATION AND METHOD OF APPOINTMENT—PRESCRIBED

HIGHER EDUCATION (K) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (P) No. 119/81/H.Edn. Dated, Trivandrum, 6th July, 1981.

NOTIFICATION

S. R. O. No. 969/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 2 of the Kerala Public Services Act, 1968 (19 of 1968), and in supersession of all the rules and orders on the subject, the Government of Kerala hereby make the following Special Rules for the post of Attender in the Kerala State Archives Department, namely;—

Rules

1. *Short Title and Commencement.*—(a) These rules may be called the Special Rules for the post of Attender in the State Archives Department, 1979.

(b) They shall come into force at once.

2. *Constitution*:—The post of Attender in the Kerala State Archives Department shall constitute a separate class in the Kerala General Subordinate Service.

3. *Appointment.*—Appointment to the post shall be made as follows:—

(i) By transfer from members of Last Grade Service employed in the State Archives Department.

OR

(ii) If no candidate is available under item (i) above, by Transfer from among members of the Last Grade Service employed in other Departments.

4. *Qualifications.*—No person shall be eligible for appointment to the post by the method specified in column (1) of the table below unless he possesses the qualifications specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof:—

Table

Method of appointment (1)	*Qualifications* (2)
(i) By transfer from members of Last Grade Service employed in the Department	(i) Experience as Last Grade Servant in the State Archives Department for a period not less than five years. (ii) Pass in the Attender's test conducted by the Kerala Public Service Commission.
(ii) By transfer from members of Last Grade Service employed in other Departments.	(i) Pass in Form III (Standard VII-new) or equivalent qualification (ii) Pass in the Attenders test conducted by the Kerala Public Service Commission. (iii) Five year's regular service under Government.

5. *Appointing authority.*—The authority competent to make appointment to the post shall be the Director of Archives.

6. *Probation.*—Every person appointed to the post shall, from the date on which he joins duty, be on probation for a period of two years on duty within a continuous period of three years.

By order of the Governor,

M. Mohan Kumar,

Special Secretary.

Explanatory Note

In G.O.(P) No. 249/73/PD dated 14-9-1973 Government have prescribed the qualification and method of appointment for the post of Attender (non-technical) in the various Departments. The Director of Archives has reported to Government that suitable persons are not available in that Department to fill up the vacancy of the Archives Attender with the method of appointment and qualification prescribed in the G. O. dated 14-9-1973 and stated that the last grade employees of the Department who do not possess the academic qualification would be able to perform the duty of Attender in the Archives department better than those with academic qualification by virtue of their sufficiently long experience in handling

records. The Director, however, agreed to retain the additional qualification of pass in Attender's test conducted by the Public Service Commission. In the light of the above report of the Director of Archives, Government propose to modify the qualifications prescribed by exempting the last grade employees in the Archives Department from academic qualification for appointment as Attenders in the Archives Department. This notification is to achieve the above object.

To

Director of Archives, Trivandrum.
The Accountant General, Kerala, Trivandrum
The Secretary, Kerala Public Service Commission (Vide letter No. A11(3) 43010/76/GW dated 2-9-1976 (with C. L)
The General Administration (Services-B) Department (Vide U. O. 36432/SB2/80/GAD dated 22-4-1980)
The General Administration (Rules) Department—Vide U. O. 7794/Rules 2/79/ GAD dated 30-1-1979
The Law Department—Vide U. O. 7225-Leg. (CI)/79/Law dated 19-6-1979
The General Administration (S. C.) Department—Vide item No. 1577 dated 22-6-1981 of the proceedings of the council of Ministers.

———

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Higher Education (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Ms. No. 134/81/H. Edn. *Dated, Trivandrum, 4th August* 1981.

S.R.O. No. 972/81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (Central Act 6 of 1890), the Government of Kerala hereby order that the property specified in column (2) of the schedule appended herewith belonging to the Endowment mentioned in column (1) thereof, shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments of Kerala, and under subsections (1) and (3) of section 5 of the said Act, the Government of Kerala hereby settle the following scheme for the administration of the said property the same having been previously published under rule 3 of the Charitable Endowments (Kerala) Rules, 1966 and appoint the date of publication of this notification to be the date on which the said Scheme shall come into operation, namely:—

Scheme

1. The Endowment shall be called "Cheruliyil Kunhunni Nambeesan Smaraka Endowment".

2. The Corpus of the Endowment shall consist of Rs. 1,500 (Rupees One thousand and five hundred only) and shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala.

3. The corpus of the Endowment shall be invested in any long term securities of the Government of India or the Government of Kerala or in any of the securities approved by the Government.

4. The Principal, Government Sanskrit College, Pattambi shall be the Administrator of the Fund.

5. The annual interest accruing on the fund shall be utilised during the succeeding year for awarding a prize in the form of books to the student studying in the first year M. A. (Malayalam) Degree Class of Government Sanskrit College, Pattambi who has passed the B. A. (Malayalam) Degree Examination securing the highest number of marks in the Subsidiary paper (i. e. Sanskrit) in the First attempt.

6. The Prize shall be awarded on the occasion of the College Day Celebration, or on any suitable occasion in the academic year itself as decided by the Administrator and thereafter the fact of such award with relevant particulars shall be published in the notice board of the College for information of the public.

7. If, in any year, more than one student is found eligible for the prize by securing the same number of marks, then the amount of the prize shall be divided equally among them.

8. Requisition for payment of annual interest shall be sent by the Administrator at any time not later than two months prior to the date fixed for the award of prize and the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments shall thereupon arrange to place the annual interest at the disposal of the Administrator.

9. If in any year the interest is not utilised as provided in clause 5 above or if the prize is not awarded owing to the non-availability of a suitable candidate or for any other reason or if any balance is left after awarding the prize such amount shall be added on to the corpus of the fund by the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, unless its payment is allowed in exceptional cases on the specific recommendation of the controlling authority specified in clause 10.

10. If any doubt or dispute arises regarding the meaning or interpretation of the provision of the scheme, it shall be referred to the Director of Collegiate Education whose decision thereon shall be final.

SCHEDULE

Name of Endowment (1)	*Details of Property* (2)
"Cheruliyil Kunhunni Nambisan Smaraka Endowment"	Rs. 1,500 (Rupees One thousand and five hundred only.)

By order of the Governor,
K. P. VISWANATHA MENON,
Joint Secretary.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Principal, Sanskrit College, Pattambi wishes to institute an endowment in the above name at the Government Sanskrit College, Pattambi. The preliminary notification regarding this has been published in the Gazette dated 30-6-1981. Now Government have accepted the endowment for institution and hence this notification.